

 EIMS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT MANAGEMENT SERVICES		MINUTES OF MEETING	
EIMS Ref	1473	Project Name	TETRA4 GAS PRODUCTION PROJECT

MEETING DETAILS

Meeting	1473 Community Focus Group Meeting		
Meeting Venue	Stilte Primary School	Date	2023/01/10
		Time	12h00-14h00
<p>A Community Focus Group was held at the Stilte Primary School during the public review period of the EIA report with Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) – specifically with Community Members within the application area. The aim of the Community Focus Group was to consult with the members of the community within the proposed Tetra4 Project Area and to capture comments and/or concerns. Members of the Tetra4 team were also present during the meeting as observers and provided feedback as and where required.</p> <p>Ms Jeanette Maila introduced the EIMS team to the community in Sesotho and explained the purpose of the meeting to all the attendees. Ms Maila stated that the purpose of the meeting was to present the planned project description, legal application procedure, impacts identified should the project go ahead and their mitigations and to capture comments and/or queries from the attendees. Ms Maila asked if the presentation could be delivered in English by Mr Brian Whitfield and all the attendees agreed. The meeting attendees were informed that should they have questions in seSotho and/or Afrikaans, they should feel free to ask in their preferred language. Mr Whitfield provided an overview of the project and application process to the community through the use of posters with specific emphasis of the proposed Cluster 2 project location and infrastructure, impact assessment process, project team and project timeframes.</p> <p>All attendees were asked to sign the attendance register at the venue.</p>			

DISCUSSION POINTS

Item
1. Project Description
2. Project team
3. Legal Application Process and Public Participation
4. Impact Assessment
5. Project Contact Details
6. Discussion

MINUTES

Item No	Item
1.	All attendees were informed that a hard copy of the Environmental Impact Report was available at the venue, Background Information Documents (BIDs), Comment forms and registration forms. I&APs were

MINUTES OF MEETING

EIMS Ref	1473	Project Name	TETRA4 GAS PRODUCTION PROJECT
----------	------	--------------	-------------------------------

Item No	Item
	<p>also informed that all the documents were available on the EIMS website should they want to access them digitally.</p> <p>I&APs were encouraged to submit their comments and concerns through filling in the questionnaires provided. It was noted that all attendees who have provided their contact details would be registered by default on the I&AP database maintained by EIMS.</p>
6.1	<p>Mr Thapelo Thipe</p> <p>I'd like to know when the project will begin?</p> <p>Brian Whitfield of EIMS</p> <p>As I mentioned here, maybe in autumn or a bit later. Construction of the plant is due to start in about March of this year, so 2 months now but depending on when the required approvals are received. This was however the plan 2 years ago, when we started the EIA so it is planned to start after Tetra4 gets a decision.</p> <p>Mr Joy Alec of Tetra4</p> <p>You know, I think that's a very relevant question which he's asking, and similar to where everyone wants to know when this construction, because people are so anxious, you know, when is construction starting but before we get into that one, my question is, when is an Environmental Plan going to be signed off, to be completed? Because without it being signed off, no construction will take place. , seeing that we are still waiting for comments, objections and whatever and the due date is 24th of January, and there, we are saying, as Tetra4, anticipation is construction has to start in March, which will- forget about this month, it's already gone we know time flies. The question I was asking Brian when this Environmental Plan thing is going to end? What you're talking about first needs to go to TMRE, and we all know is Government there. It's going to start by going to the Environmental Officer and then the Environmental Senior Officer and then it'll head to Environmental- I'm sure it takes a week to move from one person to the other. Probably even the Deputy Director doesn't even sign it. It would have to go to the Director and then to the Deputy Director, you know. So, I'd like to ask, for all the work that the board keeps presenting us with, expecting that when they get back to us with the report saying, "here it is - signed" because we can see that construction cannot begin before the report is signed.</p> <p>Brian Whitfield of EIMS</p> <p>You're right, Joy. In terms of the timelines, it's difficult to really determine. This is Tetra 4's wish list, like I said for the last 2years. When we sit next at the end of this month, the Department should make a decision in about 43 days - "should." Yeah. With Government, it's difficult to say anything happens on the time frames they should. But again, if there's an appeal, then a new timeframe starts and maybe I should have mentioned that, because like I said, at the end of the process, they can get a decision - That's great, they're allowed to go ahead - but they've gotta let the appeal process run and that's I think, within 20 days of you, receiving a decision. You've gotta submit an appeal. If nobody sends us an appeal, Tetra 4 can carry on 20 days later - happy - you've got a license - EMP is approved. If an appeal is submitted, it starts a forward and backwards process between the person who appeals and the Department and Tetra 4, answering the appeals, forwards and backwards, until the Department makes another decision. And to be honest with you, seeing the news about oil and gas, after that, say Tetra 4 wins and the appeal gets thrown aside, then if it's an NGO, non-governmental organisation, they've got the finances to take Tetra 4 to court, then it goes to court. So timeframes get set aside - they can't start construction until there's no more fighting, no more objections - they're happy. But, for all intents and purposes, Tetra 4 should have a decision by March, end of March.</p>

MINUTES OF MEETING

EIMS Ref	1473	Project Name	TETRA4 GAS PRODUCTION PROJECT
----------	------	--------------	-------------------------------

Item No	Item
	<p>But, you will get a notification. So if you're on our added list, you'll get SMSes saying Tetra 4 has got a positive decision or whatever it is. If you want a copy, yes, it's fine, we can give you a copy. And then you can keep touch. You can also even keep touch with us or Tetra 4, Joy, and find out, did you get an appeal. It's an important question to ask cause then you'll know if Tetra 4 says after 20 days we haven't got any appeals, then you know construction is not held back by the law or Government. Then it's up to Tetra 4 to get things going. But, I can imagine that Tetra 4 can't just get everyone on board in March, have everyone on the ground and then things go into appeals and delays, so if you can keep in touch, that's why the more contact details we got, the better.</p> <p>Mr Thapelo Thipe</p> <p>So, we've seen that in Phase 1, especially when it began, companies would survey people weren't getting employed. They brought their own people. So now, here's Phase 2. Are people going to get employed. Phase 1 has is coming to an end yet people still aren't employed.</p> <p>Jeanette Maila of EIMS</p> <p>I remember that this question of yours sir, was raised again in the first meeting. I remember that you asked the question about seeing people. But, do you remember that we asked you to leave your contact numbers? But, perhaps many of you weren't at the first meeting but as for Mr. Thipe, I know that he was there. That's where we had mentioned that if you'd like to have a form of communication, you give Mr. Joy your cell numbers and that that he would create a WhatsApp group for Stilte Community, where you could inquire about some of the things that Mr. Whitfield was explaining such as project appeals and how far along the project is, especially since we had known of the project work starting somewhere around March. So once you've given him your contact details, he'll be able to update you on how far along the project is and how it's coming along. If you manage to give him your cell numbers and have any question or inquiries, as a group, you will be able to see any questions raised and perhaps, it could be a question you, too, were thinking of asking. That'll make things easy, so that when you see people- and I understand that it's unpleasant to see people working, when you had the hope that you would get something. So when you're part of the WhatsApp group, then it'll be easier for communication to flow. And if there is anything you want to know or there are meetings, you'll have easy access to free flow of communication.</p> <p>Mr Joy Alec of Tetra4</p> <p>This question pops up and it pops up a lot, right? And it's not the first time it pops up. And we get how anxious we can be about this issue. We said that there would be a lot of work available when Phase 2 starts. You know there's limited work there and that they'll hire people they've worked with before. Many have been let go. Even then, our COO has said that he's only hiring 1 contractor for Phase 2. And there are other things, because they've learned a lot from their dealings with the first contractor. There's a lot, out of consideration, that will be added to the contract such as how much people are going to be paid and the process of hiring. So, in short, it's going to change completely. It's not going to be the same as the previous one that people have been complaining about, because this isn't the only place where people have complained about the contractor. Even in Virginia and Welkom, many have complained about the subcontractor. So things will change. So, now, I don't know how? In time, the contractor will be introduced to the people, so that he can personally make the commitment as to the hiring procedure he'll follow. But what I really want to say, in all honesty, is that not everybody is going to get hired - whether it's here, in Virginia or Welkom. It's impossible to hire everyone. And I know that people get hurt by this and we understand being unemployed - it's awful. We know that there is no way that everyone can be employed but we are going to try our hardest to balance things out - that people are employed from here in Stilte, nearby Farms, {Damson}, Welkom, Virginia and Chelsea too - it's going to be inclusive. But, if you see unfamiliar faces around, Welkom, don't say, "hey! I've seen new faces around. Where are</p>

MINUTES OF MEETING

EIMS Ref	1473	Project Name	TETRA4 GAS PRODUCTION PROJECT
----------	------	--------------	-------------------------------

Item No	Item
	<p>they from? Just know that you're not given sole preference. Mr Brian and his colleagues conduct meetings all over and to the near communities where we operate. I just want to say few words as well, regarding employment, here we talking about general workers but there are professional workers also no matter what we need to advertise, so many people have phones then you can check also the Renergen website for every position advertised you can apply or follow Renergen is the simplest all positions are being advertised there like Geologist, Chemical Engineers such we need to advertise, yourself if you have qualifications you can apply.</p>
6.2	<p>Lerato Ndlela</p> <p>Stated that they have passports with limited validity they are still not being hired, cv's were submitted but did not receive any feedback. Mr Ndlela suggested that a community member database indicating genders be compiled so that selection can be made based on the database.</p> <p>Jeanette Maila of EIMS</p> <p>As I explained in the introduction, we are independent. We don't take sides. We are independent. We do not provide employment. We, as the Environmental Impact company, do not provide employment. Tetra 4 is the applicant. We, Environmental Impact Management Services, we manage Tetra 4's project. We help Tetra 4 compile all the information that you see here. We keep all the information and then we send it to Government Departments where, they will make a decision about the project. Like we said, Mr Joy will assist a lot - but I'm not saying he's going to give you employment - but he can convey your complaints and concerns when we have, as I said, collected your contact details. If you give him your contacts, he can help.</p> <p>But I also hear your idea about knowing about how many men and women are in Stilte. That is, I think that's right. It's a suggestion. We do not discard any suggestions given to us.</p> <p>We understand that there really are a lot of people in need of work. So, like I'm telling you, I think your telephone numbers and a WhatsApp group will give you easy access. And what else do you ask about?</p> <p>On the passport issue, as well as the limited access days, I don't how to answer that one, because I don't understand the strategy they use when people apply for work when their passports have limited entry days.</p> <p>Mr Joy Alec of Tetra4</p> <p>That one is difficult. And we spoke about it the last time we were here. This is an issue for Home Affairs, and we do not want to interfere with issues for Home Affairs. We don't want to get arrested, you see? I said, in South Africa - and I'm sure we have spoken about it at Tetra 4, and we have companies under Tetra 4. They require an ID or Permit, when you're from there, right? If you don't have them, there is nothing we can do, do you understand me, my brother? That we have to be honest about. As long as you're from outside the country, they cannot extend your entry days because you have to go to Home Affairs for that. We don't have any control over passport and ID issues. Even for South Africans, if they lose their IDs, they'll have problems. I'm that situation, they're expected to get temporary IDs. I'm sure they will not employ until you get that temporary ID. That's just how it is. Even if you can be educated first thing you have to look if you are permitted to be here, and how many days you've been given. I don't know but you have to go to, the thing. You have to sort it out. When you realise that your entry days are expiring, go to Home Affairs.</p> <p>Jeanette Maila of EIMS</p> <p>I am sure explanation was clear, unfortunately somethings are out of our hands, each and every country has its laws on how it operates wither you are a foreigner or local, good example he gives even if you are</p>

MINUTES OF MEETING

EIMS Ref	1473	Project Name	TETRA4 GAS PRODUCTION PROJECT
----------	------	--------------	-------------------------------

Item No	Item
	south African citizen if you don't have ID you cannot have access to anything, you will need to sort out with Home Affairs.
6.3	<p>Dipuo Mothibedi</p> <p>Yes. I just want to ask whether Tetra 4 has Learnerships.</p> <p>Mr Joy Alec of Tetra4</p> <p>Right now, there are no learnerships. What we do have is what we call "Portable Skills." "Portable Skills" were created for people who do not qualify for University but have skills or interests they would like to use or pursue. Also, when they try to apply to TVCT, they don't qualify for that either because they only accept matriculants and grade...thing. So we have created "Portable Skills" for those who are knowledgeable or can offer some kind of a skill, So what's going to happen is, around February, we're going to release the list of skills we'll be offering and we'll advertise them. I'm not sure - have you seen the bursaries yet? So we'll do that. We'll look into learnerships via WhatsApp and then people can apply. Not everyone will be accepted because we have a limited budget. We'll continue to try and see what we can do to assist. But learnerships are something we definitely have to have, and once we have them, we'll let you know.</p>
6.4	<p>Thapelo Thipe</p> <p>I just want to add onto that passport issue. So about the passport thing, I want to talk about agencies. Some companies, nowadays, like EPCM, employ people with passports but agents aren't able to do so. In the first meeting, they'd agreed that they'd hire people with passports. When the time came to hire people, they took down their information, and when they returned to their offices, they cancelled the names off their records. They promised them, when they were here, that they'd employ them, but when they got there, they discovered that their names had been removed from the list they say they have criminal record.</p> <p>Jeanette Maila of EIMS</p> <p>Before I answer your question, I'd just like to ask: were those passports valid?</p> <p>Thapelo Thipe</p> <p>Yes. That was when the post was made available. They were employing people - they needed 5 people. Took their documents and went to cancel them at their offices. And they got cancelled just like that. For them to come back to get other people to fill up the openings on their list, despite them cancelling people off their original list. They returned again, to find replacement for the ones they cancelled.</p> <p>Mr Joy Alec of Tetra4</p> <p>If we discuss passports, we'll never finish, right? We are not. I don't want to lie, ok? And it's the contract that has provided a model. And what you're saying is that they're claiming you have criminal records but you are saying that you don't have criminal records, you see? That's the case. Meetings have been held all over, even in Allan Rodel, Harmony. People show up, submitting their IDs at the mines but the lines will not hire you if you have a criminal record. I'm sure you're aware of that, right? Yes. A person will say, "I don't have a criminal record," but let me tell you something - here at Tetra 4, we have a system that checks each and every ID. We even know where you live, even before you get hired. I'm not talking about the contractors. I'm talking about Tetra 4. There's that system that will reveal everything about you - where you stay and everything about you. If you have a criminal record, it'll say you have a criminal record. Then you'll have to prove that you do not have a criminal record. I'll put it that way. I don't want us to take chances, ok? They have to get back to you to inform you, if they say that you have a criminal</p>

MINUTES OF MEETING

EIMS Ref	1473	Project Name	TETRA4 GAS PRODUCTION PROJECT
----------	------	--------------	-------------------------------

Item No	Item
	<p>record. Please be aware that those people prepare and then meet with you because, most people are in denial. From all the way in Welkom and Virginia the system kicks them out, at that mine, some are fighting about just that. So, I'm not saying whether they do have them or not, you see? but I just want to say that this is not the time. We'll be here forever if we start discussing this. But I want to tell you that at Tetra 4, we have that system - it's like a software that can identify a person. Even if a person pops up out of nowhere and lies, claiming to be a local resident, we'll pick on the fact that he isn't a local, you see? He is not from this province.</p> <p>Thapelo Thipe</p> <p>Sir, I'd like to ask about what you just said. Let's say, for example, that I'm living with someone and we had a fight. I get arrested. My case is dismissed. I haven't stolen. I haven't done anything but it's my family's case will this be considered as criminal record against me? . So, Mr. Joy, would that thing make them say that I have a criminal record or what? I'd just like to ask that.</p> <p>Mr Joy Alec of Tetra4</p> <p>I don't want to have a dialogue, ok? We have to bring this subject to a close. Look, please understand me, ok? I haven't said anything about Tetra not hiring a person. I'm talking about a system that exists that can identify whether a person has a criminal record or things like that. Those things are recorded. If you have a criminal record, you have a criminal record, and it'll reflect in the system, you see? The one that you're talking about, is an allegation. I don't want to get into it. Because, it's a different issue, you see? That isn't going to reflect anywhere, do you get me? I need to emphasis on the fact that I don't want people to say Mr. Joy said that we will not be hired please understand me well I am just saying we have that system that checks the profile thanks you.</p>
6.5	<p>Dipuo Mothibedi</p> <p>I want to ask, as it's been said about workforce, they are agency will nearby people who come from small farms not the ones from the locations be hired under workforce or directly by contractor, with phase 2 we don't know as yet and I don't want to conclude .</p> <p>Mr Joy Alec of Tetra4</p> <p>Let me answer this way, firstly we don't know as yet who the main contractor will be for the construction, because they need to advertise when all that is complete, we don't know as yet there are many agencies that hire for now let us not conclude to anything for phase2.</p> <p>Dipuo Mothibedi</p> <p>I want to ask again, can Tetra4 hire people directly permanently apart from those contractors?</p> <p>Mr Joy Alec of Tetra4</p> <p>Look. Tetra 4 does hire people permanently. It can. There are people who are hired at Tetra4 just over here, that I had mentioned were being advertised, are employed by Tetra 4, not the agency. So remember what we're talking about. We're talking about the contractors, you see. Do you understand? But when there are permanent jobs in Tetra 4, we advertise. Then, you will be interviewed by the Tetra4 people and you will be hired by Tetra4, do you get it? So for now phase 2 we don't know if they will use contractors.</p>
6.6	<p>Wesi Kubu</p> <p>Wesi asked about bursary opportunities and how local kids could be assisted.</p>

MINUTES OF MEETING

EIMS Ref	1473	Project Name	TETRA4 GAS PRODUCTION PROJECT
----------	------	--------------	-------------------------------

Item No	Item
	<p>Mr Joy Alec of Tetra4</p> <p>We have a bursary scheme at Tetra 4 for kids all the way from Primary to Tertiary, for the children you're talking about. So, applications are available from September, ok? And we advertise on our Renergen social media pages, on the thing... but what I do, and this man knows, I bring application forms here, to the school, and he's not the only one. I've been to the Department of Education, requesting that they distribute the forms to all Primary School pupils. But I've personally gone to those schools, and given those who have [inaudible] and Matric students forms, urging them to apply. So for those who had applied, those who were awarded bursaries, they attended the primary school in- I know some had applied, but none from Stilte qualified. Those in Virginia qualified because the requirements we're looking for are how much the parents earn or why they're unemployed. After that, we assess the child's results, because, they are very important. They show that the child's is trying and it looks like he/she can be assisted. So we've done it and we will do it. So it's coming. The advert will be out around the 24th of September. We can advertise on our Renergen website - Tetra 4 - Renergen. The second one is the one I had spoken about, the Renergen Facebook page [inaudible]. Those adverts appear there. The third one is Twitter - I know that there are some who log onto Twitter here. But I know that there are a lot of people who don't have...thingy... I personally travel and deliver them as much as I can, you see, especially to nearby schools and I've also been to Virginia to give them to them. I've also been to their District's Department of Education to give them the applications and request that they distribute them to the schools, and tell the principals to advertise them. I've asked that if the teachers do not bring them, that they call me so that I can collect them myself. My cellphone number is on there. So for those who've completed the applications - they called me and I've collected them So we want to make it as simple and easy as we can.</p>
6.7	<p>Mpiti Moleko</p> <p>Could we please pause for a second so we can focus issue of employment. I just want to know about farms. Because Adamsonsvley is beautiful. It's beautiful. It's in our environment. For example, this is a gas area. Anything that happens here, will happen to all of us because it's on those lines. We, who are, are getting bitten by snakes. At Adamsonsvley, it's beautiful, it's got solar panels, the interior is in good condition. So, I just want to ask how the farms differ because they are on the same line.</p> <p>Mr Joy Alec of Tetra4</p> <p>Let me explain how they differ, Adamson has its owners some others like Uityk few have their owners and even government or us we cannot come and invest In someone else property that is the difference I hope you understand, even us Tetra4 we need to ask permission to dig wells from owner because they own the property.</p>
6.8	<p>Thapelo Thipe</p> <p>Mr Thipe stated that he understands that projects such as the Tetra4 gas project have to benefit local communities. Mr Thipe enquired if Tetra4 has any training/support available for the communities. Mr Thipe further elaborated that there might be individuals who are not interested in being employed but are passionate to run business.</p> <p>Jeanette Maila of EIMS</p> <p>That sounds more personal to me, like it isn't based on Tetra4. Like if you're doing it on your own. Say, for example, you started a gardening business. From my knowledge, if you start your own company and you register it and attain the registration certificate, there's a Government website that you can sign your</p>

		MINUTES OF MEETING	
EIMS Ref	1473	Project Name	TETRA4 GAS PRODUCTION PROJECT

Item No	Item
	<p>company up for. That way, if there's a tender, such as a grass cutting tender, especially now that's it has rained, then the government would search around the area for a local with a grass cutting business and approach you that way.</p> <p>Mr Joy Alec of Tetra4</p> <p>Ok, let me assist there, the question you asked is important, as Ms. Jeanette has explained you are supposed to register your company with CIPC-Tax Clearance-Registration of company and so on then you can submit that to the government even with us the same is available do you all understand?. We call it Vender database. Remember(example) there could be 5 grass cutters companies in Welkom, 20 Virginia for all we will send what we call request for proposal, asking for a quote to cut grass and we look who qualifies who has tools. You can register your company with the government, Harmony, Sibanye the same company even with Tetra4 you can. If you need more information on how to register I can give you my contact to assist you with registration process for inclusion In the database.</p> <p>Thapelo Thipe</p> <p>Mr Thipe stated that if people were employed, the meeting would have not been used as a platform to discuss Tetra4 employment but the project and therefore pleaded to Tetra4 that people living close the Tetra4 plant need to be given preference, especially considering that all operations take place within close proximity to their homes and should anything go wrong they are more likely to be affected. Mr Thipe further stated, with reference to the mines in the area, the after effects of the Tetra4 project are unknown, and once Tetra4 decommissions and leaves the area the locals will have to deal with the aftermath. Mr Thipe further requested that Tetra4 visits the communities and chats with them outside of meetings.</p> <p>Mr Joy Alec of Tetra4</p> <p>If only you knew how anxious I, too, am about Phase 2 beginning, you see? So that when it begins... That's why I was asking Brian about the completion of this process (EIA process). I'm also excited for Phase 2 to start.</p>
7	Closure
7.1	<p>Mr Joy Alec shared his contact number and stated that the community members could contact him. He stated that he would create a Whatsapp group where they could address any community grievances and share any information regarding opportunities available at Tetra4. Mr Alec further requested to take contact details of the meeting attendees to ensure that they are added to the group.</p> <p>Members of the community also requested a meeting with Tetra4 to address matters that were outside the scope of the EIA application.</p> <p>The attendees stated that they had no comments and/or queries. All attendees were reminded that they can submit any additional comments to the project specific contact details provided for in the information handouts distributed during the meeting and comment sheets and asked to make sure that they have signed the attendance register.</p>

APPENDICES

1. Attendance Register

 EIMS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT MANAGEMENT SERVICES		MINUTES OF MEETING	
EIMS Ref	1473	Project Name	TETRA4 GAS PRODUCTION PROJECT

MEETING DETAILS

Meeting	1473 Community Focus Group Meeting		
Meeting Venue	Adamsonvley Primary School	Date	2023/01/10
		Time	16h00-18h00

A Community Focus Group was held at the Adamsonvley Primary School during the public review period of the EIA report with Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) – specifically with Community Members within the application area. The aim of the Community Focus Group was to consult with the members of the community within the proposed Tetra4 Project Area and to capture comments and/or concerns. Members of the Tetra4 team were also present during the meeting as observers and provided feedback as and where required.

Ms Jeanette Maila introduced the EIMS team to the community in seSotho and explained the purpose of the meeting to all the attendees. Ms Maila stated that the purpose of the meeting was to present the planned project description, legal application procedure, impacts identified should the project go ahead and their mitigations and to capture comments and/or queries from the attendees. Ms Maila asked if the presentation could be delivered in English by Mr Brian Whitfield and all the attendees agreed. The meeting attendees were informed that should they have questions in seSotho and/or Afrikaans, they should feel free to ask in their preferred language. Mr Whitfield provided an overview of the project and application process to the community through the use of posters with specific emphasis of the proposed Cluster 2 project location and infrastructure, impact assessment process, project team and project timeframes.

All attendees were asked to sign the attendance register at the venue.

DISCUSSION POINTS

Item
1. Project Description
2. Project team
3. Legal Application Process and Public Participation
4. Impact Assessment
5. Project Contact Details
6. Closure

MINUTES

Item No	Item
1.	All attendees were informed that a hard copy of the Environmental Impact Report was available at the venue, Background Information Documents (BIDs), Comment forms and registration forms. I&APs were

		MINUTES OF MEETING	
EIMS Ref	1473	Project Name	TETRA4 GAS PRODUCTION PROJECT

Item No	Item
	<p>also informed that all the documents were available on the EIMS website should they want to access them digitally.</p> <p>I&APs were encouraged to submit their comments and concerns through filling in the questionnaires provided. It was noted that all attendees who have provided their contact details would be registered by default on the I&AP database maintained by EIMS.</p>
2	Closure
2.1	<p>The attendees stated that they had no comments and/or queries and were satisfied with the Tetra4 engagements so far.</p> <p>All attendees were reminded that they can submit any additional comments to the project specific contact details provided for in the information handouts distributed during the meeting and comment sheets and asked to make sure that they have signed the attendance register.</p>

APPENDICES

1. Attendance Register

A 8 Dalmeny Road, Pine Park, Randburg PO Box 2083, Pinegowrie 2123, South Africa T (011) 789-7170 F (086) 571- 9047	E mail@eims.co.za W www.eims.co.za	A Regus Business Centre, 14 Stewart Drive, Berea, East London PO Box 19731, Tecoma, 5214, South Africa T (043) 783-9826 F (086) 571- 9047
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

		MINUTES OF MEETING	
EIMS Ref	1473	Project Name	TETRA4 GAS PRODUCTION PROJECT

MEETING DETAILS

Meeting	1473 - EIA Public Meeting		
Meeting Venue	NG Kerk Virginia	Date	2023/01/11
		Time	12h00-14h00
An invitation to an EIA Public Meeting was distributed to all registered I&APs. The aim of the public meeting was to provide anyone with interest in the project information regarding the project, findings of the EIA and address any possible questions relating the project.			

DISCUSSION POINTS

Item
1. Project Description
2. Project team
3. Legal Application Process and Public Participation
4. Environmental Impact Assessment
5. Project Contact Details
6. Discussion (Q&A)
7. Closure

MINUTES

Item No	Item
1.	<p>During the public meeting which took place between 12h00 and 14h00, a powerpoint presentation and posters entailing the project description, impact assessment and proposed mitigations, maps, project team and project timeframes were presented at the venue and were explained to all attendees by the Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP), Mr Brian Whitfield from EIMS. All attendees were notified that the meeting was recorded for minute taking and asked to sign the attendance register at the venue.</p> <p>All attendees were shown the copy of the EIA Report at the venue, Background Information Documents (BIDs), Comment forms and registration forms. I&APs were also informed that all the documents were available on the EIMS website should they want to access them digitally.</p> <p>I&APs were encouraged to submit their comments and concerns through filling in the questionnaires provided or alternatively EIMS would utilise the notes taken during the discussions. It was noted that all attendees who have provided their contact details would be registered by default on the I&AP database maintained by EIMS.</p>

MINUTES OF MEETING

EIMS Ref	1473	Project Name	TETRA4 GAS PRODUCTION PROJECT
----------	------	--------------	-------------------------------

Item No	Item
6.	Questions and Answers
6.1	<p><u>Mr Elmar Roberg</u></p> <p>Mr Roberg stated that the PowerPoint presentation text was not legible/readable because of the background colours and commented that the report was too lengthy and is not practical to read and highlight points of concern although he was aware that this was because of the legislative requirements of the report.</p> <p>Mr Roberg asked if there have been any further meetings held post the Scoping phase meetings that were held at the Goldfields Game Ranch so to make sure that he had not missed any of the meetings regarding the project.</p> <p>Mr Whitfield responded that during the scoping phase meetings that were held at the Goldfields Game Ranch were Landowner Focus group meetings and further highlighted that during the PPP process, meetings held include a day of focus group meetings with the affected communities, an open day meeting for all I&APs and a separate day with a landowner open day and focus group meeting.</p> <p>Mr Roberg sought clarification that the landowner meeting was with landowners that are being impacted by the project.</p> <p>Mr Whitfield confirmed that the landowner meetings were held with landowners within the boundary of the study area.</p> <p>Mr Roberg stated that in the previous rounds of meetings it was said that the application was for 800 exploration wells and 700 production wells, and that he does not recall the numbers presented in the current meeting of 400 exploration wells and 300 production wells.</p> <p>Mr Whitfield responded that the numbers of 400 exploration wells and 300 production wells are consisted with the numbers that were presented at the scoping phase.</p> <p>Mr Roberg asked if the pigging station on the slides was what the booster station looked like, and if pigging station infrastructure was mentioned in the presentation.</p> <p>Mr Whitfield responded that it had been mentioned in the presentation that the pigging station provided access to the pipeline, where something like a bottlebrush would be inserted to clean the pipe.</p> <p>Mr Roberg asked if the booster station photograph was also on the slide.</p> <p>Mr Whitfield responded that the booster station photograph was not on the slide while the booster designs were included in the EIA report but if Mr Roberg wants to see what the booster station looked like EIMS would attempt to provide a photograph of it.</p> <p>Mr Roberg responded that he was just interested to know how the booster station looked in relation to the other infrastructure shown in the presentation. Mr Roberg further asked clarity on the low point drain, and that it was stated in the presentation that the gas would be free flowing from the well, and there would be no pumping required for the extraction.</p> <p>Mr Whitfield stated that the booster stations provide suction.</p> <p>Mr Roberg stated that the booster station would create a vacuum and the compressor creates pressure to move the gas to the next point. Mr Roberg then asked if this infrastructure would then require electric supply?</p> <p>Mr Whitfield responded that these require a connection to the nearby powerline but would not require a high voltage.</p>

MINUTES OF MEETING

EIMS Ref	1473	Project Name	TETRA4 GAS PRODUCTION PROJECT
----------	------	--------------	-------------------------------

Item No	Item
	<p>Mr Roberg asked if the powerline would then also run within the servitude.</p> <p>Mr Whitfield stated that there are two options that have been considered for the connections. The first option is to have the powerline connecting these buried, or have the infrastructure placed directly adjacent to the existing powerline/connection.</p> <p>Mr Roberg further enquired if all areas that would be crossed were also considered/assessed as part of the study as there are corridors of 600m for the exploration wells, a 300m wide corridor for the pipelines, and if the legislation compels Tetra4 to stick within the aforementioned boundaries or if they could be exceeded.</p> <p>Mr Whitfield stated that that depends on the level of infrastructure in question, the pipeline and the wells need to be within the approved corridors any other infrastructure that would be needed outside the approved area would then be subject to a risk assessment being carried out based on the sensitivity, however, cannot be stretched too far from the approved areas.</p> <p>Mr Roberg further asked that considering that the exact locations of the wells are unknown, would the specialists have walked through the entire area of the corridors.</p> <p>Mr Whitfield responded that the specialists did groundtruth the corridors to the best of their ability where they were granted access.</p> <p>Mr Roberg asked if EIMS/specialist had a record of where they were denied access. Mr Roberg stated that as per the amendment to the regulations made in 2014, if one was applying for exploration in a 27 000 Ha area, then specialist studies are required on the entire extent of the application.</p> <p>Mr Whitfield stated that there are records of where access was denied and that the specialist studies were conducted on the entire 27 000 Ha application area, high resolution ariel photography was used.</p> <p>Mr Roberg asked if groundtruthing was not physically done in the entire area.</p> <p>Mr Whitfield responded that one cannot physically groundtruth the whole area unless they have the time to do so.</p> <p>Mr Roberg stated that previously it was allowed that one could only conduct studies on the sections that would be impacted but as per the 2014 Regulations Amendment, studies would need to be conducted on the entire study area.</p> <p>Mr Whitfield asked if Mr Roberg would be able to provide a specific reference to the amendment in question so to allow Mr Whitfield to look at the legislation and provide a definitive response to the question.</p> <p>Mr Roberg sought clarity on the connection of the wells and the pipeline buffer as the map shows that the 300m pipeline corridors lie outside of the exploration/production well corridors.</p> <p>Mr Whitfield explained that the pipeline corridors shown in the map are the areas for placement of the trunklines or high pressure pipelines. To tie wells to the trunklines there would also be smaller low pressure pipelines.</p> <p>Mr Roberg enquired that should during the exploration process and data gathering and analysis it be evident that gas lies outside the application corridors, would the applicant then be able to drill in the newly identified areas.</p> <p>Mr Whitfield stated that in such a case, the applicant would have to engage with the department/authority to determine the level of an amendment required to the application. Mr Whitfield further stated that such work requires to be examined according to its own merits, considering factors</p>

		MINUTES OF MEETING	
EIMS Ref	1473	Project Name	TETRA4 GAS PRODUCTION PROJECT

Item No	Item
	<p>such as if the new work triggers any listed activities, and Tetra4 would then have to address the issue through the relevant legal means.</p> <p>Mr Roberg asked if the pipelines to be used are governed by any standards that and if those are stated in the report.</p> <p>Mr Whitfield confirmed that the information is available in the project description section of the report where the pipes and the relevant standards/codes are mentioned.</p> <p>Mr Roberg enquired that in the event that an exploration well is not viable, stating that there were requests for Tetra4 not to cap the wells for access to groundwater to the farmers, however, considering that the gas would flow and contaminate the groundwater, would there be any mitigations in place to prevent groundwater reserves from contamination by the gas and how such requests are being handled.</p> <p>Mr Whitfield stated that the EIA worked on an assumption that if wells are drilled and found not to be viable for production then they are to be decommissioned by Tetra4. Regarding contamination of the surface aquifers, a mitigation measure has been put to place that all wells need to be cased up to at least a 300m depth, where the well is found to not be a gas producing well, then it has to be sealed completely with concrete and cannot be used by anyone, the risk of making the wells available as a water well for use by landowners outweigh the benefits of the use of that water as it may cause contamination between the deep and shallow water aquifer or possibly gas interplay and it cannot be allowed that the well be used as water wells.</p> <p>Mr Roberg asked what type of casing would be utilised for the production wells.</p> <p>Mr Whitfield responded that multiple casings would be used with grouting in between.</p> <p>Mr Roberg asked that considering that the pipelines are to be buried at least 1.5m below ground, how are ruptures or failures and leaks to be detected for the pipelines.</p> <p>Mr Whitfield responded that there are sensors to be fitted within the system at the booster stations and or within the pipelines and changes in pressure from wells or within a trunkline will mean there has to be an investigation to determine if there is a leak.</p> <p>Mr Roberg stated that if sensors can be installed in booster stations and compressor stations, it essentially means that there are to be 30 sensors for pipelines stretching long distances of 480km.</p> <p>Mr Whitfield stated that considering the scale of the map presented was 4km, that implies that the 480km of pipelines would be a mesh of pipelines connecting to the booster stations and there would not for example be a 50km stretch of pipeline in any section.</p>
7	Closure
3.1	<p>As no further comments or questions were asked by any of the other attendees of the meeting Mr Whitfield thanked all the meeting attendees and stated that should anyone have any comments and/or queries regarding the project they could forward their comments to EIMS through the methods of communication shared in the meeting including the deadline for comments. The meeting was adjourned.</p>

APPENDICES

1. Attendance Register

A 8 Dalmeny Road, Pine Park, Randburg P O Box 2083, Pinegowrie 2123, South Africa T (011) 789-7170 F (086) 571- 9047	E mail@eims.co.za W www.eims.co.za	A Regus Business Centre, 14 Stewart Drive, Berea, East London P O Box 19731, Tecoma, 5214, South Africa T (043) 783-9826 F (086) 571- 9047
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

		MINUTES OF MEETING	
EIMS Ref	1473	Project Name	TETRA4 GAS PRODUCTION PROJECT

MEETING DETAILS

Meeting	1473 Landowner Focus Group Meeting		
Meeting Venue	Goldfields Game Ranch	Date	2023/01/11
		Time	17h00 - 19h00
<p>A Landowner Focus Group meeting was held at the Goldfields Game Ranch during the public review period of the EIA report. The invitation was extended to the affected and adjacent landowners. This session was aimed at consulting with landowners and to solicit comments, queries and concerns regarding the proposed Cluster 2 project. The meeting which took place from 17h00.</p> <p>All attendees were asked to sign the attendance register at the venue.</p>			

DISCUSSION POINTS

Item
1. Project Description
2. Project team
3. Legal Application Process and Public Participation
4. Impact Assessment
5. Project Contact Details
6. Discussion
7. Closure

MINUTES

Item No	Item
1	Mr Whitfield introduced EIMS as well as the Tetra4 personnel to the attendees and explained the purpose of the meeting. Mr Whitfield proceeded with a PowerPoint presentation which highlighted the Applicant details, EAP and specialist team, Legal framework, EIA & Public participation process, Project location and description, Baseline studies, Alternatives, and Impacts. A discussion followed the conclusion of the presentation as presented below.
6.	Questions and Answers
6.1	<p><u>Mr WP Du Plessis</u></p> <p>What does the well head in Phase 2 look like?</p>

MINUTES OF MEETING

EIMS Ref	1473	Project Name	TETRA4 GAS PRODUCTION PROJECT
----------	------	--------------	-------------------------------

Item No	Item
	<p><u>Brian Whitfield of EIMS</u></p> <p>It looks a lot like a low point drain.</p> <p><u>Mr WP Du Plessis</u></p> <p>it is an above ground structure?</p> <p><u>Nick Mitchell of Tetra4</u></p> <p>William, your question around what the wellhead infrastructure looks like, it is really just a slab on top of surface on the ground. So, that structure there (referring to the low point drain photograph), protrudes quite significantly out of the ground. What we envisage and we invite you to come through to our facility to come and see it because we have piloted it on our latest phase of drilling, is a slab. I would equate it to the top section or the darker grey colour that is at the top of that structure, lying on top of surface. And that is what it will look like. And with no security fencing typically around it at all.</p> <p><u>Brian Whitfield of EIMS</u></p> <p>Towards the end of the presentation, we will also get to some of the mitigation which is very different to how Cluster 1 was drilled and the wells established. So, perhaps we will perhaps answer some of your concerns where the wellhead would not be in the middle of your crop lands.</p>
6.2	<p><u>Mikaella Bodeux</u></p> <p>Just further to the issue of not having the fencing, does it include the cameras or will there be no more security cameras?</p> <p><u>Nick Mitchell of Tetra4</u></p> <p>Yes, addressing that question. Based on this new design, we do not feel that we will need any of that security infrastructure, including electric fence, cameras, associated equipment. It will be very minimal. And like Brian indicated, this infrastructure would not take place in your cropland. This would be moved to a boundary or servitude requirement and this is where that would be placed.</p>
6.3	<p><u>Mr WP Du Plessis</u></p> <p>I just want to have it on record that the previous wellheads would have been buried two meters below the ground and there would be no concrete or no structure above ground. You must remember, this is in a ploughed land. A land that has been ploughed. And if you have a structure like that, even if it is just a pole, I mean, I have got one of those white beacons in my land and that, makes it a fifteen by fifteen unworkable place because a tractor with its implement is longer than fifteen meters. The previous planning and what they put to us was it is a buried two meters underground and nothing above ground and we can work over it.</p> <p><u>Nick Mitchell of Tetra4</u></p> <p>William, nothing has changed from that perspective. So, where we work within your cropland, that is exactly what would happen. We will deviate the wellhead to the boundary or servitude area. And this is the infrastructure that would then sit at the servitude area. So the intention is where it in the middle of cropland, there will be no surface concrete, there will be no visible impact and you will still be in a position to use your implements without impact to that line. And that has not changed.</p> <p><u>Mr WP Du Plessis</u></p>

MINUTES OF MEETING

EIMS Ref	1473	Project Name	TETRA4 GAS PRODUCTION PROJECT
----------	------	--------------	-------------------------------

Item No	Item
	No problem. I thought he said it is a wellhead. A wellhead, as we understand it, is where the hole... Where the gas comes out.
6.4	<p><u>Mikaella Bodeux</u></p> <p>On the topic of deviated wellheads. I believe that in the EIA it says that they will be implemented where possible. Could you outline in what situation it would not be possible?</p> <p><u>Nick Mitchell of Tetra4</u></p> <p>So, the cost of deviating the wellhead and the operational impact of not being able to get back to the actual well itself is a significant impact to our business. So what we are saying is, in the scenario where it is commercial agricultural farmland, we recognise that our activity there would be a disturbance. Where it is not being ploughed and we do not necessarily sterilise that land as impact from an agricultural perspective in terms of crop agriculture, we would be looking to implement that in all other areas, where possible.</p>
6.5	<p><u>Mr WP Du Plessis</u></p> <p>Exploration phase. Is that the planning phase?</p> <p><u>Brian Whitfield of EIMS</u></p> <p>It includes desktop planning but, it is most of the temporary phases. So, it would include the exploration drilling.</p>
6.6	<p><u>Mr WP Du Plessis</u></p> <p>A revised landowner contract must be finalised prior to commencement of drilling. What is that? Prospecting</p> <p><u>Brian Whitfield of EIMS</u></p> <p>Exploration, yes.</p> <p><u>Mr WP Du Plessis</u></p> <p>Exploration. Not construction.</p> <p><u>Brian Whitfield of EIMS</u></p> <p>Yes, sorry. The semantics of the wording, it infers exploration.</p> <p><u>Mikaella Bodeux</u></p> <p>Would it not be commencement of the listed activity? Which means any clearing, anything?</p> <p><u>Brian Whitfield of EIMS</u></p> <p>Yes, fair. I think that feedback is valuable. We can amend it if you are happy. We will change the wording to the commencement of a listed activity.</p> <p><u>Gerrie Muller of Strategy4Good</u></p> <p>I just thought wherever the word, "construction," comes up, maybe just automatically understand it is listed activities. Otherwise you are going to stumble over that every time.</p>

MINUTES OF MEETING

EIMS Ref	1473	Project Name	TETRA4 GAS PRODUCTION PROJECT
----------	------	--------------	-------------------------------

Item No	Item
	<p><u>Elmar Roberg</u></p> <p>I will not ask all the questions I asked earlier on (referring to attendance and questions during the Public Meeting) but, I did have some additional ones. Some that you actually missed as well. One of them is that, sorry, I might have misunderstood what you said just now or, misunderstood what you said earlier this afternoon. My understanding was the 480 kilometres was the, I do not know if it was a slip of the tongue, but what you called the trunkline Okay, is it not. But that is a nice word because, we understand what a trunkline is. Or, at least I do. Does that 480 kilometres then also connect those sorts of local lines which go to the well itself? Is that included in the 480 kilometres</p> <p><u>Brian Whitfield of EIMS</u></p> <p>Probably a slip of the tongue. Yes, it is.</p> <p><u>Elmar Roberg</u></p> <p>Okay. All right. And then just a question. In September last year the meeting actually made mention. And the way that it was written in the article was that there was going to be a 60 megawatt power station as a part of Phase 2. At least that is the way it was written. Is that correct? Because I mean, I did not see anything referring to a power station or anything like that.</p> <p><u>Brian Whitfield of EIMS</u></p> <p>No, there is nothing in our application covering the power generation, but I see Nick is willing to address that. So, we are not applying for that at the moment.</p> <p><u>Elmar Roberg</u></p> <p>Okay so my next question then is that, remember I asked you this afternoon about the booster and what it actually looked like and so forth? And then it just struck me in fact that, for the pegging one, I could not see anywhere where it said how many of these pegging stations there would be, okay. Maybe you can just check that?</p> <p><u>Brian Whitfield of EIMS</u></p> <p>Yes, we will just have to double check. Perhaps, they are right here, Tetra 4 can answer that immediately.</p> <p><u>Elmar Roberg</u></p> <p>As I wailed about the size of the document, it could be that it is there. But it should be up there in the summary. Like the other ones, okay. One question which I also have is that, it does appear that the trunkline would actually cross the canal. How do you actually cross a canal? Do you go under it? Do you go over it? What do you actually do?</p> <p><u>Brian Whitfield of EIMS</u></p> <p>Okay so, the crossing of perennial streams or perennial waterbodies, including that, will be horizontal directional drilling. Starting at one point, drilling and turning the drill and coming up the other side. Not open trenching through the river.</p> <p><u>Elmar Roberg</u></p> <p>All right, then I also saw something. Maybe I, with my attention to detail, I read that the plan was to actually start with the exploration in May of this year, is it?</p> <p><u>Brian Whitfield of EIMS</u></p>

MINUTES OF MEETING

EIMS Ref	1473	Project Name	TETRA4 GAS PRODUCTION PROJECT
----------	------	--------------	-------------------------------

Item No	Item
	<p>That was the original plan. It all depends on when final decisions and the licenses are in place. So, when we started the project a year ago, those were the dates and the planning dates.</p> <p><u>Elmar Roberg</u></p> <p>Okay so, one of the issues is that one obviously makes promises about no harm and all of those kinds of things, okay. And then what does happen, harm does occur. How will that process of compensation actually work if somebody needs to be compensated for it? And then maybe related to that is a question which might not be possible to answer here and, I will accept that, okay. And that is that there is a certain amount of scepticism about the success of the project in terms of the quantity of gas that will actually be found. Now my question here is so, you have done a lot of construction work, you have done a lot of building, you have laid pipes down or, in fact, you have not even gotten to that particular point. But then it turns out that it is actually not going to work out, okay. But in the meantime, where does the money come from to actually do the EMPR? And again, I know there are different ways that one could do that, through the balance sheet or you do it through insurance type of product and so forth. I would be interested to know exactly how this is planned. Especially in the early stages of the work before the gas really starts flowing and the money starts flowing.</p> <p><u>Brian Whitfield of EIMS</u></p> <p>It is a fair question. So on all these applications, any mining application, you have to provide a financial provision upfront, once you are getting the license. Before you break ground. So financial provision has been costed for, accounted, and Tetra4, if the license is issued, will have to make that provision available should anything go wrong. That it is effectively like an insurance policy.</p> <p><u>Nick Mitchell of Tetra4</u></p> <p>You will have to just remind me as we work through it. I think, working on your last one first. Environmental provisions. Obviously, we are regulated by a Regulator. We have to put provisions in place related to our work schedule and what our work program is. We deal with that with the Regulator. Tetra 4's parent company is obviously a listed entity so, we raise required equity when needed. And it is no secret that we are funded by the American Government and they have typically funded us on our debt requirements on the project. So that is how we intend to fund the operational activity of the entity and to undertake these activities. Part of our modelling requires us to make provision for the rehabilitation side. With respect to the river crossings. As Brian correctly indicated, river crossings or canal crossings typically, or road crossings, that is horizontal directional drilling underneath those surfaces. It will be to a deeper depth, way below two meters, to ensure that we do not impact that infrastructure. On the pegging stations. The pegging stations are ultimately going to be determined by the routes that the pipelines will follow. Pegging stations are envisaged on either end of a river crossing or a directional drill site. And that allows us to then clean out the residual water that may gather in a deeper troth, if you were to look at it or determine that in the pipeline. Oh yes, the power station. So, with respect to the power station, we are looking at options around how our gas will be consumed. There are multiple parties expressing an interest to develop a power station adjacent to our facility. That will be a separate environmental authorisation and approval for that activity. And at this stage, it is simply too early to tell. We are engaged in a number of discussions regarding offtake of our gas and, that is spread across multiple users in different industries, including power generation. So, it is one option but, it is not a defined option that we are taking forward as Renergen specifically, at this time. So, there is a defined grievance mechanism which our Phase 1 landowners are familiar with. We have worked through that process. Typically, we are engaged directly. Sometimes you might find damage resulting in requirements to involve third parties from an independent assessment perspective. I think it would follow a typical grievance procedure with the ability to have a dispute mechanism as well in order for us to reach a final decision. So, it is pretty much defined. We follow IFC performance standards and IFC guidelines in relation to these</p>

MINUTES OF MEETING

EIMS Ref	1473	Project Name	TETRA4 GAS PRODUCTION PROJECT
----------	------	--------------	-------------------------------

Item No	Item
	types of things so, it is nothing new. I think the mechanisms are well tested and we have had incidents in relation to Phase 1 where we have had to use some of those mechanisms to resolve the disputes. But I think, that was a process managed quite well and I think true to our form in that we deliver what we say and we have not changed our position here. We have been instituting ourselves into the community since 2013 and I think the engagement with our landowners across Phase 1 has demonstrated that we are an ethical business and we continue to be. And we strive to be in Phase 2 as well. Nothing changes.
6.7	<p><u>Mr Gert Oosthuizen</u></p> <p>I do not think it is for you (referring to the EAP). I think it is for Nick as well. The booster stations, we did not see a photo of that. Do you guys know how it is going to look like, how it is going to be powered? Is it going to be overhead powerlines, whatever? Does this application include application for overhead powerlines? How do you plan to power all those 28 booster stations? Because I did not see a photo of them. Have you decided on a concept? So that we can... Our thought process can just go in the right direction with that. Sorry, on a separate note. This whole thing about the power. I mean, he speaks on articles, there are people out there that think that there is going to be 60 kilowatts of power being generated and you do not know about it or, not certain yet. So maybe just hold back on some of the comments he sets out there.</p> <p><u>Nick Mitchell of Tetra4</u></p> <p>So, there is a design and a concept for booster stations. Impact or overall footprint would look like an original Phase 1 well size. So ten by ten, roughly. Maybe, ten by twelve. The power requirements on that, still being defined, still working through, looking at options. And we will need to assess what is practical, what is available and what we need based on the final requirements. With respect to the comment on the power, we note it. It is certainly part of a large provision that we are planning for. The country needs more power. We certainly believe we can provide a significant amount of power, which would then go a long way in stabilising the grid and providing a much needed commodity for the country. So, we are definitely open to it but, our lenders have placed certain restrictions on us and they need to give us the go-ahead that we can do gas to power. So, those are the restrictions. If we can do it, we would certainly look to it.</p>
6.8	<p><u>Mikaelia Bodeux</u></p> <p>Firstly, the accumulative impact of having powerlines to the booster stations not being considered in the EIA is a major flaw given the significant impact it would have on farmers. From a crop-dusting perspective, from turning tractors around, it is not something that can just be added in later on, it is something that needs to be considered so that IMAP's are given a proper opportunity to make comments on that. Furthermore, the idea of having a power station. Would the transmission lines create capacity issues? When would that be considered? Because again, it speaks to having more powerlines in the area. Is there grid capacity in the area; is there going to be an introduction of a significant number of columns in the area? It is being thrown around quite nonchalantly but, it is quite a significant issue.</p> <p><u>Nick Mitchell of Tetra4</u></p> <p>Mikaelia, I think the power facility is a separate activity that we would embark on on a completely separate process. It is something that will require a full ESIA and that will be the process that the company follows or, one of the developers follow, if they are an off taker of product and looking to develop that. So, I do not think it is going to be added into our process nonchalantly, as you rightly say. That certainly is not the intention. It is not how we operate. It certainly is going to follow a new defined process.</p> <p><u>Gerhard Muller of Tetra4</u></p>

MINUTES OF MEETING

EIMS Ref	1473	Project Name	TETRA4 GAS PRODUCTION PROJECT
----------	------	--------------	-------------------------------

Item No	Item
	<p>So in terms of the booster stations. There are three available options for us at this stage. So, it is either tying into already established connections that is already on the grid or, gas to power, small scale for the booster station or, diesel power. So we are busy with all three. Depending on the final location of that booster station, will determine the power requirements. And also in terms of the fatal flaw that you mentioned for powerline, we are going to be required to run power towards our plant. We are running a separate EIA process for that as that needs to be handed over to Eskom as well.</p> <p><u>Mr Gert Oosthuizen</u></p> <p>I just want to know, some of the farmers here, you remember the whole debacle with the flying and taking all of those other studies and all of those sort of things. Did something happen there? Because I see the corridor still remains at 600 meters. Did you get any smarter in regards to that or is that just part of the application? Because we were under the impression that you will narrow the corridors so that we have much more certainty from where you plan to drill.</p> <p><u>Nick Mitchell of Tetra4</u></p> <p>So, we did complete those surveys and it will improve our area of focus. The studies are still being assessed in terms of that data source. So, it is a huge dataset that needs to be evaluated. And what we will look to do within the 600 meter corridors that we are applying for, we will look to narrow the focus from there. So, we are still applying for the 600 meter corridor, it is the most sound approach, but we will look to then improve the accuracy of when we actually undertake the activity once that starts.</p>
6.9	<p><u>Mrs Cindy Oosthuizen</u></p> <p>Okay so, the first question is, the low point drains, right. We have no idea where they will be. During Cluster 1 it also came up and you physically can only see where they are supposed to go once production is going and they can see the condensation and so forth. So, what happens if there is no alternative? Like the alternative that is proposed, it says the word, "where possible, exploring the alternative". If it does not work, I mean, that is a bigger impact and we obviously need to know where roughly, they will be located. I mean, if that cannot be in a crop field of course, because you cannot go over that. So yes, what happens if there is no alternative?</p> <p><u>Nick Mitchell of Tetra4</u></p> <p>So, the low point drains was certainly a contentious issue on Phase 1. And I think the major concern related to how often we would enter or access property in order to drain and; how would we drain and; what kind of vehicles are going to be on site; how regular that is going to take place. What I am quite pleased to say is that we have been operating now with that gas either through the flair or, through the plant, relatively stable since about August last year. We have subsequently changed the methodology for extraction. It no longer requires a honeysucker vehicle. It can actually be completed on the back of one of the Renergen bakkies. We have got a skid which is modified to fit on and that extracts from the low point drain. To date, we have not had to empty them across, since August. So right now, I think it is a bit of a moot point. It is there, it is a requirement but, if we look at it from an impact perspective on access and what that means, from certainly what we have seen from the data on Phase 1, we do not believe that it is an issue. We do have to provision for them because it is a design requirement, but I do not think that they will be as evasive as what was originally conceded in the thought process. And I think in Phase 1 we indicated that it might be a honeysucker on the facility once a week, emptying out that drain. It certainly is no longer that requirement. So, that I think, deals with the access points. In terms of location and having it in the middle of a ploughed field. I think the same approach to a low point is going to be the same approach we follow with the wellhead. We understand that these things are not ideal in the middle</p>

MINUTES OF MEETING

EIMS Ref	1473	Project Name	TETRA4 GAS PRODUCTION PROJECT
----------	------	--------------	-------------------------------

Item No	Item
	<p>of croplands and, it is our ability to engineer out those requirements wherever we can. And that is the approach that we are looking to follow.</p> <p><u>Mrs Cindy Oosthuizen</u></p> <p>Thanks Nick. Just with that, the wellheads with the low point drains. Everywhere where it states, “where possible,” and I think from a farmer’s perspective, where possible, is very uncertain. I understand that you maintain that you will keep out of the crops and then it is not really an issue, it is not “not ideal”, it is impossible to plough over those stuff. So, I want to understand how do you define, “where possible”? Because it is one thing to state, “where possible,” and it is another thing to say it is not possible. So, “Sorry guys, we are putting 40 wellheads in your crop field”. I mean, that is where we are. What is your definition of, “where possible”? Or what would impossibility constitute?</p> <p><u>Nick Mitchell of Tetra4</u></p> <p>So, I think two issues there. You have raised the wellheads, but I think the wellheads we have dealt with. And, is there any uncertainty on your side where the wellheads... Wells will be drilled in the middle of crop lands but, the wellheads will not surface in the middle of croplands. They will be deviated.</p> <p>That we can concretely say from our perspective is, the case. When it comes to a low point drain and describing what is very difficult for us to overcome and I think, it is probably a very similar feature that would be difficult for you to farm on, is a depression in the centre of the field. Because at that depression point, that would be low point. So, what we would have to... Let us assume that we had a wellhead 300 meters and it runs then through a depression. We would have to have a low point in that depression because, that is the lowest point. And in order for us to engineer that out, we would then have to essentially take the well from its position and sink it as far back to the boundary as possible to engineer the low point to the lowest point at the boundary. And those are the complexities that we deal with but, that is typically the most difficult. And I think it is certainly something that I do not foresee to be a very common feature across agriculturally ploughed and prepared lands because you would be looking to avoid those as well. And like in Phase 1, it is certainly determined, not by us but by the topography of the actual surface structure, surface layer. So, and it is not a case that one size would be a standard size for all of them. It is a case that we have got to look at the specific requirements. And if we have got one well that we need to think about, with this scenario, we would be looking for alternative designs, alternative routing options, to avoid that troth or that depression in order to remove that complexity. So, there are options but, we do have some firm constraints as well.</p>
6.10	<p><u>Elmar Roberg</u></p> <p>A couple of times somebody mentioned, as Phase 1. I just want to remind you that the new area is actually going to include a lot of our farms which were not a part of Phase 1 and also, not touched by Phase 1, okay. Because I mean, if I look at the little man that you have there for Phase 1, and the pipelines, maybe I am wrong but, I can understand where the pipelines actually ran and where the existing holes were in the one. There are farmers there who have experienced what things have been like. But now when you go outside of that, into the broader area for the EIA, we have no idea what do you mean by, “like Phase 1”. So, can I just ask that where you say Phase 1, you actually say what it was in Phase 1 and so forth? And then another thing too, which I think is actually quite important. Renergen often mentions about the fact that they are the first inland or continental gas license in South Africa. And so from a personal point of view, I think that we are almost talking like a pilot or a proof of concept in terms of Phase 1, okay. And it would be useful if in fact what the experiences were in Phase 1, were somehow actually made known as well, okay. Because I think that will avoid on the one hand all sorts of unnecessary concerns as well as maybe even raise new concerns. I do not know.</p>

MINUTES OF MEETING

EIMS Ref	1473	Project Name	TETRA4 GAS PRODUCTION PROJECT
----------	------	--------------	-------------------------------

Item No	Item
	<p><u>Brian Whitfield of EIMS</u></p> <p>No, I agree. And to a large degree we have actually mentioned that, lessons learnt in Phase 1. For instance, the wellhead being above ground with fencing and, you know, it is quite a monster. We have said, that lesson learnt, has now resulted in a different form of...</p> <p><u>Elmar Roberg</u></p> <p>Is that in the report?</p> <p><u>Brian Whitfield of EIMS</u></p> <p>Yes, it is. So, throughout, where we have identified a Phase 1 issue and an improvement upon it, we do mention the specifics as to what was improved. So, it is not just a general Phase 1 lesson learnt.</p>
6.11	<p><u>Mr WP Du Plessis</u></p> <p>William. This is not an environmental issue. I do not know if it is the right place to talk about it. About the contract that is available or... Not now? Okay.</p>
6.12	<p><u>Mrs Cindy Oosthuizen</u></p> <p>You have mentioned that EIMS' recommendation is that it is okay to move forward with this project. Is that dependant on Tetra meeting the mitigation recommendations or, Ilse's mitigation recommendations... It is nice to see in the contract but they can choose whether they use it or not? Is your recommendation that it is dependent on them actually actioning the mitigation recommendations?</p> <p><u>Brian Whitfield of EIMS</u></p> <p>Yes, I would not say it is dependant. I would say the understanding for us is that it will be complied with and therefore, our recommendation is that it is approved. So, it is more a case of we have to work with the assumption that Tetra 4 will comply with all the mitigation measures. And if they do so, we, in our professional opinion, feel that this project should go-ahead. And then it is up to the Department to make that decision. Whether or not Tetra 4 complies or not, that is ongoing. Management and the Department would be aware of that.</p> <p><u>Nick Mitchell of Tetra4</u></p> <p>Brian, something to add there is that these mitigation measures are then submitted. So, all of these comments, all of the mitigations that are prepared are submitted to the authority for their review and their final decision. When they render that decision, they will take those mitigation measures and they will put those as requirements upon the license holder. And we will be then regularly required to... Well, we will firstly be audited and then if not accordance, we will have time to correct. But ultimately, we will be sanctioned and that could cost you your ultimate license as well. So, it is a severe risk and penalty that the business would be facing if they chose to ignore that. As Executive Directors of that business as well, we are also faced with criminal charges and fines. Sever fines that would be imposed upon us. So, it certainly would not be a procedure that we would condone or, an activity. And I think it would certainly be against the culture and the value of our business as employees, owners, shareholders, stakeholders. That is not the way we operate.</p> <p><u>Brian Whitfield of EIMS</u></p> <p>Yes, and further to that is that, all our recommendations become legally binding if a decision is rendered positive. So, all of them are legally binding.</p> <p><u>Mr Elmar Roberg</u></p>

		MINUTES OF MEETING	
EIMS Ref	1473	Project Name	TETRA4 GAS PRODUCTION PROJECT

Item No	Item
	<p>Brian, when do they become legally binding? I mean, let us say for example you hand in your report, someone goes through it and they miss one of these things and so, they do not actually include it into the authorisation, okay. Exactly how does that process work? I mean, I know that if you have got a tendering process then very often, your tender response actually is considered to be part of the contract. Is that the way this works as well.</p> <p><u>Brian Whitfield of EIMS</u></p> <p>No. So all those conditions that we recommended for inclusion in the decision, are also included in the EMP. So, they are actually mitigation measures in the EMP. So regardless of whoever drafts a decision and leaves them out, they become legally binding through the EMP. So the EMP is the management tool which Tetra 4 has to comply with. If you do not comply with the EMP, equally, noncompliant is not complying with your license.</p>
7	Closure
7.1	The attendees were thanked for their comments and questions.

APPENDICES

1. Attendance Register
